**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH**

**FRIDAY, THE 30TH MARCH 2007 / 9TH CHAITHRA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 32146 of 2004(B)**
**----------**

**PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**SANDEEP. S., S/O.LATE T.K.SATHYANATHAN,**
**KOYAMPURATHU HOUSE, P.O. FAROOK COLLEGE,**
**KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI.M.P.ASHOK KUMAR.**

**RESPONDENT:**
**----------**

**INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK,**
**CENTRAL OFFICE, P.B.NO.3765, 763 ANNA SALAI,**
**CHENNAI, REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN & MANAGING DIRECTOR.**

**BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE VARGHESE (MANACHIRACKEL).**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 30/03/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**W.P.(C). NO.32146/2004:**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:**

**EXT.P.1: COPY OF THE APPLICATION DTD. 14/06/2002.**

**EXT.P.2: COPY OF THE REPLY DTD. 22/06/2002 SENT BY THE BANK.**

**EXT.P.3: COPY OF THE REPLY DTD. 14/09/2002 SENT BY THE BANK.**

**EXT.P.4: COPY OF THE LETTER DTD. 03/10/2003 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P.5: COPY OF THE LETTER-DTD. 27/10/2003 SENT BY THE BANK.**

**//TRUE COPY//**

**prv.**

**KURIAN JOSEPH, J.**

**----------**

**W.P.(C) No.32146 of 2004**

**----------**

**Dated 30th March, 2007.**

**J U D G M E N T**

Petitioner is aggrieved since he is not considered for appointment under the compassionate appointment scheme. Learned counsel for the Bank submits that under the scheme available in the respondent Bank, only the spouse can be extended the benefit. The petitioner being the son, does not come under the category for such consideration. If so advised, the mother of the petitioner may approach the respondent.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.**

***tgs***

**KURIAN JOSEPH, J**

---

**O.P.No.  of  2002**

---

**J U D G M E N T**

**Dated 30th  March,  2007.**